

RECEIVED: April 5, 2006 ACCEPTED: April 14, 2006 PUBLISHED: May 3, 2006

# On the validity of the solution of string field theory

# **Ehud Fuchs and Michael Kroyter**

Max Planck Institut für Gravitationsphysik, Albert Einstein Institut 14476 Golm, Germany E-mail: udif@aei.mpg.de, mikroyt@aei.mpg.de

ABSTRACT: We analyze the realm of validity of the recently found tachyon solution of cubic string field theory. We find that the equation of motion holds in a non trivial way when this solution is contracted with itself. This calculation is needed to conclude the proof of Sen's first conjecture. We also find that the equation of motion holds when the tachyon or gauge solutions are contracted among themselves.

Keywords: Tachyon Condensation, Bosonic Strings, String Field Theory.

# Contents

4.	Conclusions	7
3.	The equation of motion	Ę
2.	The cubic term	ę
1.	Introduction	]

## 1. Introduction

Witten's action of cubic bosonic string field theory [1],

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \langle \Psi | Q_B \Psi \rangle + \frac{1}{3} \langle \Psi | \Psi \star \Psi \rangle, \qquad (1.1)$$

leads to an innocent looking equation of motion,

$$Q_B\Psi + \Psi \star \Psi = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Yet, this equation remained unsolved for twenty years, until recently Schnabl finally found a non trivial analytic solution [2]. The complexity of this equation stems from the infinite number of string fields, its non-linearity and the difference in forms of the linear and non-linear terms. Many attempts have been made in order to simplify the structure of this equation [3-14].

Approximate numerical solutions were found earlier using level truncation regularization [15]. Level truncation solves two issues. First, it gives a brute force tool for handling the complexity of the star product. Second, it offers an elegant solution to the singularities that arise in string field theory due to the existence of an infinite tower of degrees of freedom.

Schnabl overcame the complexity of the equation of motion using an intelligent choice of coordinates that simplifies the star product and a novel gauge choice, which simultaneously simplifies the kinetic term. The regularization of his solution came out naturally from the derivation, and it can be written as

$$\Psi_{\lambda} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left( \lambda^N \psi_N - \sum_{n=0}^N \lambda^n \partial_n \psi_n \right). \tag{1.3}$$

The tachyon solution is  $\Psi \equiv \Psi_1$ , where it should be understood that we first set  $\lambda = 1$  and only then take the limit  $N \to \infty$ . The  $\Psi_{\lambda}$  with  $\lambda < 1$  form a one parameter family of pure gauge solutions.

In order to prove that  $\Psi_{\lambda}$  are indeed solutions, Schnabl showed that they satisfy the equation of motion when contracted with a Fock space state  $\langle \phi |$ , that is,

$$\langle \phi | Q_B \Psi_\lambda + \Psi_\lambda \star \Psi_\lambda \rangle = 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Here, we follow the standard abuse of terminology, defining a "Fock space" state as a state with a finite number of excitations. This "Fock space" fails to satisfy the completness requirement of a Hilbert space. Moreover, it is not closed under the star product. Even the state  $|3\rangle \equiv |0\rangle \star |0\rangle$  is not part of this space. Needless to say that neither the tachyon nor the gauge solutions are in this space.

This raises the notorious problem of defining "the correct space of string fields". This entity is not easily defined due to the absence of a positive definite norm in this space. Nevertheless, it is clear that such a definition is crucial. Without a proper definition of this space even the most fundamental questions, such as the triviality (of the cohomology) of the kinetic operator around the new vacuum Q, are not well posed. Moreover, even the derivation of (1.2) from (1.1) requires the definition of a space, for which a generalization of the fundamental lemma of the calculus of variations holds. Lacking a definition of such a space we are led to guesswork. Due to the existence of the second term in the action (1.1), it is natural to expect that this space forms a star-algebra<sup>1</sup>. Thus, it is reasonable to require that the (integer) wedge states lie in this space [17, 18]. Other clues for the form of this space can come from various anomalies. For example, it was shown by Schnabl that the inclusion of the so called "unbalanced wedge states" into the space of string fields results in inconsistencies [18]. Other examples of potentially problematic anomalies include twist, midpoint and associativity anomalies [19-22]. Some other thumb rules that were considered for deciding whether a state is legitimate or not include a well defined local coordinate patch [17, 23-27] for surface states [28, 29], eigenvalues bounded by unity for the defining matrix of a squeezed state [30, 31] and more [32]. The asymptotic behavior of level truncation coefficients is another criterion. In fact, one of the justifications for considering the first term of Schnabl's tachyon solution  $(1.3)^2$  is to ensure a relatively fast decay of the coefficients.

We do not know how to define the space of string fields on which we should be able to contract the solution. Rather, we follow the common wisdom and check the contraction of the equations of motion with the solutions themselves [33, 14, 34]. That is, we show that the tachyon and gauge solutions can be added to the list of states for which the equation of motion holds,

$$\langle \Psi_{\lambda_1} | Q_B \Psi_{\lambda_2} + \Psi_{\lambda_2} \star \Psi_{\lambda_2} \rangle = 0, \qquad (1.5)$$

for any  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \leq 1$ . This is a non trivial generalization of (1.4) since  $\Psi_{\lambda}$  is not in the "Fock space". Moreover, the tachyon solution  $\lambda = 1$ , involves the singular state  $\psi_{N \to \infty}$ . The correlation function of this state vanishes with any "Fock space" state, yet this state is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We consider here the space with general ghost number, without the restriction to physical states [16].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This term does not contribute to the equation of motion, since in the limit its contraction with Fock space states vanishes.

clearly not zero. For example,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \langle \psi_N | \psi_N \star \psi_N \rangle = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi}.$$
 (1.6)

Here, we take the limit  $N \to \infty$  simultaneously for all terms. Taking, for example, N to infinity in the bra while taking 2N to infinity in the ket yields a different result.

The derivation of (1.5) also completes Schnabl's proof of Sen's first conjecture [35, 36]. Schnabl calculated

$$\langle \Psi | Q_B \Psi \rangle = -\frac{3}{\pi^2} \,, \tag{1.7}$$

and assumed (1.5) to get,

$$\frac{1}{g_o^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \langle \Psi | Q_B \Psi \rangle + \frac{1}{3} \langle \Psi | Q_B \Psi + \Psi \star \Psi \rangle \right) = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2 g_o^2}. \tag{1.8}$$

But a priori there was no justification for assuming (1.5) without an explicit calculation. Our calculation shows that his assumption was nevertheless correct.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we evaluate the correlators of three  $\psi_n$ 's, which form the building blocks of Schnabl's solutions  $\Psi_{\lambda}$ . Then, we sum the relevant combinations of such correlators in section 3 to prove our main result, eq. (1.5). Finally, we offer some concluding remarks in section 4.

While this work was nearing completion the paper [37] appeared, which overlaps a major part of this work.

#### 2. The cubic term

First we summarize the results from [2] relevant to our computation. All results are given without proof. We refer the reader to [2] for further details.

A standard coordinate for the CFT computations of string theory is the upper half plane, with the half unit circle |z| < 1 being our coordinate patch. For SFT computation it is useful to change coordinates to a semi infinite cylinder of radius  $\pi$ , namely  $C_{\pi}$ . The conformal map to the new coordinates is  $\tilde{z} = \tan^{-1} z$ . Now the coordinate patch is defined by the strip  $-\pi/4 < \Re(\tilde{z}) < \pi/4$ .

It is natural to mode expand primary fields on the cylinder as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^h(\tilde{z}) = \tan \circ \mathcal{O}^h(\tilde{z}) = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_n^h}{\tilde{z}^{n+h}}.$$
 (2.1)

In this paper we only need to mode expand the energy momentum tensor  $T_{\tilde{z}\tilde{z}}$  and the  $\tilde{b}$  ghost

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \tan \circ L_n = \oint \frac{d\tilde{z}}{2\pi i} \tilde{z}^{n+1} T_{\tilde{z}\tilde{z}}(\tilde{z}), \qquad (2.2)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_n = \tan \circ b_n = \oint \frac{d\tilde{z}}{2\pi i} \tilde{z}^{n+1} \tilde{b}(\tilde{z}). \tag{2.3}$$

The  $\psi_n$  terms of the solution can be written as wedge states  $|n+2\rangle$  with  $\tilde{c}$  ghost insertions and the  $\mathcal{B}_0 + \mathcal{B}_0^{\dagger}$  operator,

$$\psi_n = \frac{2}{\pi^2} U_{n+2}^{\dagger} U_{n+2} \left[ \left( \mathcal{B}_0 + \mathcal{B}_0^{\dagger} \right) \tilde{c} \left( -\frac{\pi n}{4} \right) \tilde{c} \left( \frac{\pi n}{4} \right) + \frac{\pi}{2} \left( \tilde{c} \left( -\frac{\pi n}{4} \right) + \tilde{c} \left( \frac{\pi n}{4} \right) \right) \right] |0\rangle , \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$U_{n+2} = \left(\frac{2}{n+2}\right)^{\mathcal{L}_0}, \qquad U_{n+2}^{\dagger} U_{n+2} = \exp\left(-\frac{n}{2}(\mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_0^{\dagger})\right).$$
 (2.5)

Ignoring for a moment the  $(\mathcal{B}_0 + \mathcal{B}_0^{\dagger})$  operator, the star multiplication of the above states is straightforward, using the equation

$$U_r^{\dagger} U_r \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{x}_1) \cdots \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{x}_n) |0\rangle \star U_s^{\dagger} U_s \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{y}_1) \cdots \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{y}_m) |0\rangle =$$
(2.6)

$$U_t^{\dagger} U_t \tilde{\phi} \left( \tilde{x}_1 + \frac{\pi(s-1)}{4} \right) \cdots \tilde{\phi} \left( \tilde{x}_n + \frac{\pi(s-1)}{4} \right) \tilde{\psi} \left( \tilde{y}_1 - \frac{\pi(r-1)}{4} \right) \cdots \tilde{\psi} \left( \tilde{y}_m - \frac{\pi(r-1)}{4} \right) |0\rangle ,$$

where t = r + s - 1. For calculating the terms with  $\mathcal{B}_0 + \mathcal{B}_0^{\dagger}$  we use the two combinations,

$$\mathcal{B}_{-1}^{L} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_{-1} + \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \mathcal{B}_0 + \mathcal{B}_0^{\dagger} \right), \tag{2.7}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{-1}^{R} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{B}_{-1} - \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \mathcal{B}_{0} + \mathcal{B}_{0}^{\dagger} \right), \tag{2.8}$$

which are useful because they obey simple relations with respect to the star product,

$$\mathcal{B}_{-1}^{L}(\psi_1 \star \psi_2) = (\mathcal{B}_{-1}^{L}\psi_1) \star \psi_2, \qquad (2.9)$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{-1}^{R}(\psi_1 \star \psi_2) = (-1)^{\psi_1} \psi_1 \star (\mathcal{B}_{-1}^{R} \psi_2). \tag{2.10}$$

Therefore, for the state on the left (right) side of the star product we write  $\mathcal{B}_0 + \mathcal{B}_0^{\dagger}$  using  $\mathcal{B}_{-1}^L$  ( $\mathcal{B}_{-1}^R$ ) and  $\mathcal{B}_{-1}$ . We can get rid of the  $\mathcal{B}_{-1}$  operator using its anti-commutation relation

$$\{\mathcal{B}_{-1}, \tilde{c}(\tilde{z})\} = 1,$$
 (2.11)

and the fact that it annihilates the vacuum. The remaining terms can be calculated thanks to the commutation relations

$$[\mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_0^{\dagger}, \mathcal{B}_{-1}^L] = [\mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_0^{\dagger}, \mathcal{B}_{-1}^R] = 0.$$
 (2.12)

Then the relations (2.9), (2.10) can be used again to get back a state in the form of a wedge state with  $\tilde{c}$  ghost insertions and the  $\mathcal{B}_0 + \mathcal{B}_0^{\dagger}$  operator. A straightforward but tedious use of the above relations leads to the result,

$$\psi_{n} \star \psi_{m} \star \psi_{k} = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{3} U_{p+2}^{\dagger} U_{p+2} \left[\frac{1}{\pi} (\mathcal{B}_{0} + \mathcal{B}_{0}^{\dagger}) \tilde{c} \left(\frac{\pi p}{4}\right) \tilde{c} \left(-\frac{\pi p}{4}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\tilde{c} \left(\frac{\pi p}{4}\right) + \tilde{c} \left(-\frac{\pi p}{4}\right)\right)\right]$$

$$\cdot \left(\tilde{c} \left(\frac{\pi (-n+m+k+2)}{4}\right) - \tilde{c} \left(-\frac{\pi (-n+m+k)}{4}\right)\right)$$

$$\cdot \left(\tilde{c} \left(\frac{\pi (-n-m+k-2)}{4}\right) - \tilde{c} \left(-\frac{\pi (-n-m+k)}{4}\right)\right) |0\rangle,$$

$$(2.13)$$

where p = n + m + k + 2. What is left is to calculate the correlation function

$$\langle \psi_n | \psi_m \star \psi_k \rangle = \langle I | \psi_n \star \psi_m \star \psi_k \rangle.$$
 (2.14)

For this calculation we need the relations,

$$U_r U_s^{\dagger} = U_{2+\frac{2}{5}(s-2)}^{\dagger} U_{2+\frac{2}{5}(r-2)}, \qquad U_r U_s = U_{\frac{rs}{2}},$$
 (2.15)

to get

$$\langle I|U_{p+2}^{\dagger}U_{p+2} = \langle 0|U_{p+1}^{\dagger}U_{p+1} = \langle 0|U_{p+1}.$$
 (2.16)

Next, we use the relation

$$\langle 0| U_r \left( r \mathcal{B}_0^{\dagger} + (r-2) \mathcal{B}_0 \right) = 0,$$
 (2.17)

to replace the  $\mathcal{B}_0^{\dagger}$  operator with  $\frac{1-p}{1+p}\mathcal{B}_0$  and the anti-commutation relation

$$\{\mathcal{B}_0, \tilde{c}(\tilde{z})\} = \tilde{z}, \qquad (2.18)$$

to drag  $\mathcal{B}_0$  to the right until it annihilates the vacuum. Now, we only need the correlation function of three  $\tilde{c}$  ghost insertions on the cylinder with radius  $\frac{\pi(p+1)}{2}$ ,

$$\langle \tilde{c}(x)\tilde{c}(y)\tilde{c}(z)\rangle_{C_{\frac{\pi(p+1)}{2}}} = \left(\frac{p+1}{2}\right)^3\sin\left(\frac{2(x-y)}{p+1}\right)\sin\left(\frac{2(x-z)}{p+1}\right)\sin\left(\frac{2(y-z)}{p+1}\right). \quad (2.19)$$

This gives the final result,

$$\langle \psi_n | \psi_m \star \psi_k \rangle = \frac{(p+1)^2}{\pi^3} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{p+1} \right) \left( \sin \left( \frac{2\pi(n+1)}{p+1} \right) + \sin \left( \frac{2\pi(m+1)}{p+1} \right) + \sin \left( \frac{2\pi(k+1)}{p+1} \right) \right). \quad (2.20)$$

# 3. The equation of motion

Schnabl showed that the equation of motion holds when contracted with Fock space states. In this section we demonstrate that it also holds when contracted with any of the solutions. The first term in (1.3) is the problematic one since it is naively zero but still contributes to the action. We show that all combinations of the tachyon and gauge solutions satisfy the equation of motion. For the gauge solutions this seems redundant since they do not have the problematic  $\psi_N$  term. Then, this calculation is just an extra check that comes for free with the main result.

We start with the diagonal sum over constant p = m + k + 2

$$\sum_{r=-p+2}^{p-2 \text{ step } 2} \partial_m \partial_k \langle \psi_n | \psi_m \star \psi_k \rangle, \qquad (3.1)$$

where r = m - k. The sum can be performed after noticing that

$$\partial_m \partial_k \langle \psi_n | \psi_m \star \psi_k \rangle = \partial_p^2 \left( \frac{(n+p+1)^2}{\pi^3} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{n+p+1} \right) \sin \left( \frac{2\pi(n+1)}{n+p+1} \right) \right)$$
(3.2)

+ 
$$(f_{n,p}(r-2) - 2f_{n,p}(r) + f_{n,p}(r+2) + f_{n,p}(-r-2) - 2f_{n,p}(-r) + f_{n,p}(r+2))$$
,

$$f_{n,p}(r) = \left(\partial_r^2 - \partial_p^2\right) \left(\frac{n+p+1}{4\pi^3} \sin\left(\frac{\pi(p+r)}{n+p+1}\right)\right), \tag{3.3}$$

giving

$$\sum_{r=-p+2}^{p-2 \text{ step } 2} \partial_m \partial_k \langle \psi_n | \psi_m \star \psi_k \rangle =$$

$$(p-1)\partial_p^2 \left( \frac{(n+p+1)^2}{\pi^3} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{n+p+1} \right) \sin \left( \frac{2\pi(n+1)}{n+p+1} \right) \right) + (f_{n,p}(p) - f_{n,p}(p-2) - f_{n,p}(-p+2) + f_{n,p}(-p)).$$
(3.4)

Some trigonometry shows that this is equal to the kinetic term calculated by Schnabl,

$$\partial_{p} \langle \psi_{n} | Q_{B} \psi_{p-1} \rangle = \sum_{r=-p+2}^{p-2 \text{ step } 2} \partial_{m} \partial_{k} \langle \psi_{n} | \psi_{m} \star \psi_{k} \rangle.$$
 (3.5)

Therefore, when plugging  $\Psi_{\lambda}$  into the equation of motion, the coefficient of  $\lambda^{p}$  is zero. This result is independent of n. Thus,

$$\langle \Psi_{\lambda_1} | Q_B \Psi_{\lambda_2} + \Psi_{\lambda_2} \star \Psi_{\lambda_2} \rangle = 0, \qquad (3.6)$$

for  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 < 1$ . Combining this with Schnabl's result on the vanishing of the kinetic term for the pure gauge solution, we see that the cubic term in the action also vanishes.

For the cubic term of the tachyon solution we need to calculate four terms. The first term is,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \langle \psi_N | \psi_N \star \psi_N \rangle = \frac{3}{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}\right) = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi}.$$
 (3.7)

The second term involves a sum that in the limit  $N \to \infty$  can be transformed into a Riemann integral, giving exactly the same result as the first term,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_0^1 dx \, \partial_x \, \langle \psi_N | \, \psi_N \star \psi_{xN} \rangle = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \,. \tag{3.8}$$

The third term involves two sums that, again, can be transformed into integrals,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{0}^{1} dx \int_{0}^{1} dy \partial_{x} \partial_{y} \langle \psi_{N} | \psi_{xN} \star \psi_{yN} \rangle = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi}.$$
 (3.9)

The fourth term involves three sums, but these sums cannot be transformed into an integral near the origin. Still, we calculate the cubic integral,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^1 dy \int_0^1 dz \partial_x \partial_y \partial_z \langle \psi_{zN} | \psi_{xN} \star \psi_{yN} \rangle = \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi}, \qquad (3.10)$$

but replace the result of the integral with a sum for the corner of the cube x + y + z < 1. Luckily, we already have performed this sum for the pure gauge calculation and it is zero (3.5, 3.6). All that is left is to subtract the integral for this illegal domain,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_0^1 dx \int_0^{1-x} dy \int_0^{1-y-x} dz \partial_x \partial_y \partial_z \langle \psi_{zN} | \psi_{xN} \star \psi_{yN} \rangle = \frac{3}{\pi^2}. \tag{3.11}$$

Amazingly, all the other contributions cancel and we are only left with this last contribution from the illegal domain,

$$\langle \Psi | \Psi \star \Psi \rangle = \frac{3}{\pi^2} \,. \tag{3.12}$$

Together with Schnabl's result for the kinetic term we get that the equation of motion holds when contracted with the solution itself,

$$\langle \Psi | Q_B \Psi + \Psi \star \Psi \rangle = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

This also completes the proof of Sen's first conjecture (1.8).

We have also checked that the equation of motion for the tachyon solution holds when contracted with a gauge solution and that the equation of motion for the gauge solution holds when contracted with the tachyon solution.

## 4. Conclusions

We showed that Schnabl's tachyon solution of string field theory is valid in the sense that it solves the equation of motion even when contracted with itself. Lacking a definition for the space of string states, our check gives a good indication that this state is well behaved.

It is easy to generalize our calculation to see that this result is independent of the relative regularization of the bra and the ket states. Instead of integrating over a cube, we now have to integrate over a box. The result of the integral will be different, but these integrals cancel each other. The only non trivial contribution is from the corner of the cube, eq. (3.11). Now, we will take a corner from the box, but of the same shape, giving the same contribution. Schnabl's calculation of the kinetic term can be described in the same way as integrating over the square and then correcting for the lower triangle. Therefore, the kinetic and cubic terms again cancel.

To conclude, using a correct regularization for the tachyon solution is crucial for obtaining the right state. Yet after regularization, the state we get seems to be well behaved under all our tests, to the extent that the regularization we used to get this state is irrelevant.

This work bridges a gap left in [2]. We believe that it will prove useful for a better understanding of Schnabl's construction and for further applications thereof. We hope that it would also serve in the search for the space of string fields.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Emil Akhmedov, Sergey Frolov, Martin Schnabl, Ashoke Sen and Stefan Theisen for discussions. The work of M.K. is supported by a Minerva fellowship. The work of E.F. is supported by the German-Israeli foundation for scientific research.

# References

- [1] E. Witten, Noncommutative geometry and string field theory, Nucl. Phys. B 268 (1986) 253.
- [2] M. Schnabl, Analytic solution for tachyon condensation in open string field theory, hep-th/0511286.
- [3] J. Bordes, H.-M. Chan, L. Nellen and S.T. Tsou, Half string oscillator approach to string field theory, Nucl. Phys. B 351 (1991) 441.
- [4] V.A. Kostelecky and R. Potting, Analytical construction of a nonperturbative vacuum for the open bosonic string, Phys. Rev. **D** 63 (2001) 046007 [hep-th/0008252].
- [5] L. Rastelli, A. Sen and B. Zwiebach, Half strings, projectors and multiple D-branes in vacuum string field theory, JHEP 11 (2001) 035 [hep-th/0105058].
- [6] D.J. Gross and W. Taylor, Split string field theory, I, JHEP 08 (2001) 009 [hep-th/0105059].
- [7] T. Takahashi and S. Tanimoto, Wilson lines and classical solutions in cubic open string field theory, Prog. Theor. Phys. 106 (2001) 863 [hep-th/0107046].
- [8] J. Kluson, Exact solutions of open bosonic string field theory, JHEP 04 (2002) 043 [hep-th/0202045].
- [9] I. Bars, Map of Witten's \* to Moyal's \*, Phys. Lett. B 517 (2001) 436 [hep-th/0106157].
- [10] M.R. Douglas, H. Liu, G.W. Moore and B. Zwiebach, Open string star as a continuous Moyal product, JHEP 04 (2002) 022 [hep-th/0202087].
- [11] L. Rastelli, A. Sen and B. Zwiebach, Star algebra spectroscopy, JHEP 03 (2002) 029 [hep-th/0111281].
- [12] A. Boyarsky and O. Ruchayskiy, Integrability in SFT and new representation of KP  $\tau$ -function, JHEP **03** (2003) 027 [hep-th/0211010].
- [13] L. Bonora and A.S. Sorin, Integrable structures in string field theory, Phys. Lett. B 553 (2003) 317 [hep-th/0211283].
- [14] Y. Okawa, Some exact computations on the twisted butterfly state in string field theory, JHEP 01 (2004) 066 [hep-th/0310264].
- [15] V.A. Kostelecky and S. Samuel, On a nonperturbative vacuum for the open bosonic string, Nucl. Phys. B 336 (1990) 263.
- [16] C.B. Thorn, Perturbation theory for quantized string fields, Nucl. Phys. B 287 (1987) 61.
- [17] L. Rastelli and B. Zwiebach, Tachyon potentials, star products and universality, JHEP 09 (2001) 038 [hep-th/0006240].
- [18] M. Schnabl, Wedge states in string field theory, JHEP 01 (2003) 004 [hep-th/0201095].
- [19] G.T. Horowitz and A. Strominger, Translations as inner derivations and associativity anomalies in open string field theory, Phys. Lett. B 185 (1987) 45.
- [20] H. Hata and S. Moriyama, Observables as twist anomaly in vacuum string field theory, JHEP 01 (2002) 042 [hep-th/0111034].
- [21] I. Bars and Y. Matsuo, Associativity anomaly in string field theory, Phys. Rev. **D** 65 (2002) 126006 [hep-th/0202030].

- [22] T.G. Erler, A fresh look at midpoint singularities in the algebra of string fields, JHEP 03 (2005) 042 [hep-th/0304044].
- [23] L. Rastelli, A. Sen and B. Zwiebach, Boundary CFT construction of D-branes in vacuum string field theory, JHEP 11 (2001) 045 [hep-th/0105168].
- [24] L. Rastelli, A. Sen and B. Zwiebach, Vacuum string field theory, hep-th/0106010.
- [25] D. Gaiotto, L. Rastelli, A. Sen and B. Zwiebach, Star algebra projectors, JHEP 04 (2002) 060 [hep-th/0202151].
- [26] E. Fuchs and M. Kroyter, On surface states and star-subalgebras in string field theory, JHEP 10 (2004) 004 [hep-th/0409020].
- [27] S. Uhlmann, A note on kappa-diagonal surface states, JHEP 11 (2004) 003 [hep-th/0408245].
- [28] A. LeClair, M.E. Peskin and C.R. Preitschopf, String field theory on the conformal plane, 1. Kinematical principles, Nucl. Phys. B 317 (1989) 411.
- [29] A. LeClair, M.E. Peskin and C.R. Preitschopf, String field theory on the conformal plane, 2. Generalized gluing, Nucl. Phys. B 317 (1989) 464.
- [30] E. Fuchs, M. Kroyter and A. Marcus, Squeezed state projectors in string field theory, JHEP 09 (2002) 022 [hep-th/0207001].
- [31] E. Fuchs, M. Kroyter and A. Marcus, Continuous half-string representation of string field theory, JHEP 11 (2003) 039 [hep-th/0307148].
- [32] E. Fuchs and M. Kroyter, Normalization anomalies in level truncation calculations, JHEP 12 (2005) 031 [hep-th/0508010].
- [33] H. Hata and S. Moriyama, Reexamining classical solution and tachyon mode in vacuum string field theory, Nucl. Phys. B 651 (2003) 3 [hep-th/0206208].
- [34] Y. Okawa, Solving Witten's string field theory using the butterfly state, Phys. Rev. D 69 (2004) 086001 [hep-th/0311115].
- [35] A. Sen, Descent relations among bosonic D-branes, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 14 (1999) 4061 [hep-th/9902105].
- [36] A. Sen, Universality of the tachyon potential, JHEP 12 (1999) 027 [hep-th/9911116].
- [37] Y. Okawa, Comments on Schnabl's analytic solution for tachyon condensation in Witten's open string field theory, hep-th/0603159.